AI and Indian Law

ABSTRACT

This paper provides an assessment of the developing relationship between artificial intelligence (AI) and Indian law, including the regulatory, ethical and practical problems associated with this technology. It does so by evaluating the existing regulatory framework for intellectual property and technology across India, as well as identifying some of the major legal gaps and ambiguities in applying the current laws to AI specific problems. The use of AI raises new concerns in relation to established legal concepts. The legislation that governs data protection is assessed and indicates that legislation specifically for the protection of personal data needs to be developed. The ethical challenges include: privacy, transparency, accountability and fairness; all require clear benchmarks for developing and deploying AI in a responsible manner. This paper discusses how AI will affect governance, the administration of justice, and the judiciary. Key issues facing Indian AI development include the fast pace of technological change; the shortage of expertise in AI; and the requirement for flexible regulatory frameworks. However, there are also many opportunities for AI to be used to improve the legal process, provide greater access to justice and create efficiencies in the judicial process. The final recommendation of the paper is to continue to explore ways to establish a forward-looking regulatory regime for AI in India, which includes cooperation between stakeholders and building capacity to ensure accountable and inclusive AI governance in India.

KEY WORDS- ***Artificial Intelligence, Ethics, Data Privacy, Cyber Law, AI Governance.***

INTRODUCTION

Artificial intelligence or AI is a term used in computer science to describe one way we might code our machines so they think and learn similar to how people do while also assuming there exist no limits for it being considered truly intelligent; so that means all areas under this heading such as machine learning or word processing fall under these categories too while taking into consideration other examples such as computer vision along with robotics. The development of artificial intelligence, along with the main points of its development, has been a subject of interest for decades. Today, artificial intelligence is used in many areas from health to finance, from transportation to entertainment. Its revolutionary potential lies in its ability to streamline operations, improve decision-making processes, increase efficiency and stimulate innovation, making it an integral part of daily life. The importance of artificial intelligence in today's society cannot be overstated due to its versatility and wide range of applications. Artificial intelligence technology has revolutionized many industries by providing solutions that were once thought impossible or difficult. In healthcare, AI-powered diagnostic tools and personalized treatment recommendations are improving patient outcomes and outcomes. In finance, algorithms are used to detect fraud, perform algorithmic trading, and provide personal financial services. Autonomous vehicles, traffic management and intelligence-driven predictive maintenance are revolutionizing transportation. Additionally, the entertainment industry is also taking advantage of artificial intelligence through content recommendations, augmented reality and advanced gaming. Artificial intelligence in education facilitates learning transformation, self-directed learning, and learning analysis to improve student learning. The Indian legal system is a complex framework for governing the country, involving many laws, regulations and courts. It has its roots in the legal system inherited from the British colonial period and is supported by laws enacted by Parliament and state legislatures and judicial decisions by the courts. As AI technology continues to advance and integrate into society, the need for governance to ensure fair use, accountability, and transparency will increase. Indian law plays an important role in creating and implementing these laws. Intellectual property rights (IPR) are important to protect AI innovations and encourage investment in R&D. The Indian legal system provides mechanisms to protect and manage intellectual property related to AI technology. Data protection and privacy have become important issues with the proliferation of AI-driven applications and services that rely heavily on data. Laws such as the Privacy Act aim to protect individual privacy and regulate the collection, storage and processing of personal data by Indian intelligence systems.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

The exponential growth of Artificial Intelligence (AI) has catalysed extensive scholarly research and legal discourse on its implications globally. Ethical considerations have been at the forefront of this discussion, with scholars highlighting concerns about algorithmic bias, decision-making transparency, and accountability[1]. Regulatory issues related to AI deployment and management are also increasingly scrutinized, highlighting the need for regulatory reforms to address the unique challenges posed by AI technology.

[1] Smith, A., Ethical Considerations in AI: A Global Perspective, 12 *J. ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE RES.* 45 (2020).

Intellectual property (IPR) has emerged as another important area of focus as researchers explore the complexities of patenting AI innovations and interacting with AI-generated content. Data protection and privacy have always been at the centre of legal debates about artificial intelligence, especially in the context of improving the capabilities of artificial intelligence systems to collect, store and process information.

Specific Focus on AI in India

The literature on AI and its legal implications in India is relatively new but growing rapidly. Researchers and legal experts have begun exploring the intersection of AI and Indian law, focusing on understanding existing legal systems to change or not solve the problems caused by AI technologies. AI law in India continues to evolve, with important decisions providing insight into the judiciary's interpretation and application of existing AI laws on issues.

The Indian government and regulatory bodies have also started to recognize the importance of AI and are in the process of developing policies and guidelines to govern its use and deployment[2]. However, there is a consensus among scholars that there is a need for more comprehensive and tailored legal and regulatory frameworks to address the unique socio cultural, economic, and technological landscape of India[3].

RESEARCH OBJECTIVE

❖ To Analyze the Current Legal Landscape of AI in India

The first objective is to conduct a comprehensive assessment of the existing legal framework regarding intellectual property in India. This includes identifying and reviewing laws, regulations and policies regarding the development, deployment and use of artificial intelligence technology. The analysis will focus on understanding Indian policy alignment to the challenges and opportunities presented by AI, including issues related to governance, respect for governance, intellectual property rights, data protection and privacy.

❖ To Evaluate the Ethical and Societal Implications of AI in India

The second objective is to evaluate the application and impact of intellectual property in India. This includes investigating the benefits and risks associated with AI technology, such as human rights issues such as bias, transparency, accountability, job change, and more. The assessment will also consider cultural, economic and social factors influencing the adoption and use of AI in India, as well as ethical factors guiding the development and use of AI technology.

❖ To Propose Recommendations for Future Policy and Regulatory Frameworks

The third objective is to propose recommendations for future policy and regulatory frameworks to guide the responsible development and deployment of AI in India. Based on the analysis and evaluation conducted, this objective aims to identify gaps in the current

[2] Ministry of Electronics & Information Technology, *National AI Strategy and Policy Framework* (Government of India 2020).
[3] N. Verma & S. Kapoor, *AI Governance in India: Towards a Comprehensive Legal Framework* 78 (Journal of Governance and Policy 2022)

legal landscape and suggest reforms, policy initiatives, and best practices that can help address the challenges and maximize the benefits of AI for India. The recommendations will be designed to promote innovation, protect individual rights, ensure fairness and transparency, and foster trust in AI technologies among stakeholders in India.

HYPOTHESES

H1: Current Legal Frameworks in India Are Insufficient to Address the Rapid Advancements in AI Technology

This hypothesis posits that the existing legal frameworks governing AI in India may be inadequate or outdated to effectively address the rapid advancements and complexities of AI technology. It suggests that there may be gaps, ambiguities, or inconsistencies in the laws and regulations that need to be addressed to ensure ethical use, accountability, and transparency in the development and deployment of AI technologies.

H2: Ethical and Societal Implications of AI in India Are Not Adequately Addressed by Existing Policies and Regulations

This view argues that the existing rules and regulations of AI in India are not appropriate in terms of ethical and social aspects of AI such as neutrality, transparency, accountability, functioning and impact on human rights. It suggests that a more comprehensive and nuanced approach is needed to understand and mitigate the risks and challenges associated with AI technology in the Indian context.

H3: Strategic Reforms and Policy Initiatives Can Foster Responsible and Inclusive AI Innovation in India

This hypothesis argues that strategic reforms, policy initiatives, and best practices can play a crucial role in fostering responsible and inclusive AI innovation in India. It suggests that by addressing the identified gaps and challenges in the legal and regulatory landscape, and by promoting collaboration between government, industry, academia, and civil society, India can create a conducive environment for innovation, protect individual rights, ensure fairness and transparency, and build trust in AI technologies among stakeholders.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Qualitative Analysis: The research primarily employed qualitative analysis to explore the complex and multifaceted relationship between AI and Indian law. Qualitative analysis allows an in-depth study of existing literature, research data, and regulatory frameworks to understand the issues, opportunities, and trends of AI in India. This method facilitated a nuanced understanding of the subject matter, enabling the identification of key themes, patterns, and trends that emerged from the literature and case studies.

Case Studies: Case studies were a central component of the research methodology, providing real-world examples and insights into how AI technologies are being governed and regulated in India. The case studies involved an in-depth analysis of landmark judgments, policy documents, and regulatory initiatives related to AI in India. These case studies offered valuable perspectives on the judiciary's approach, regulatory challenges, and the evolving legal landscape surrounding AI in India.

Data Collection and Analysis Methods: Data collection for this research primarily involved a comprehensive review of existing literature, including books, articles, and scholarly publications, related to AI and its legal implications globally and specifically in India. The literature review encompassed a wide range of sources, such as academic journals, conference proceedings, government reports, and policy documents, to ensure a holistic and multidisciplinary approach to the research.

The data analysis process involved a systematic examination and interpretation of the collected literature and case studies. This included identifying key themes, summarizing findings, comparing and contrasting different perspectives, and synthesizing insights to develop a coherent and comprehensive understanding of the research topic. The analysis was iterative, allowing for the refinement of themes and the integration of new insights as the research progressed.

AI AND INDIAN LEGAL LANDSCAPE

Artificial intelligence (AI) policy in India continues to evolve to reflect the rapid evolution of AI technology and its impact on society. So far, there is no law specific to artificial intelligence. However, many existing laws and regulations relate to intellectual property, such as data protection, intellectual property rights, cybersecurity and privacy.

• **Data Protection and Privacy:** The Personal Data Protection Bill, 2019 (PDP Bill) aims to regulate the collection, storage, and processing of personal data by defining data protection principles, establishing a Data Protection Authority, and imposing obligations on data fiduciaries and data processors[4].

• **Intellectual Property Rights (IPR):** The Indian Patent Act, 1970, and the Copyright Act, 1957, provide the legal framework for protecting AI innovations and AI-generated content, respectively[5].

• **Cybersecurity:** The Information Technology Act, 2000, and the Information Technology (Intermediary Guidelines and Digital Media Ethics Code) Rules, 2021, address cybersecurity concerns related to AI by regulating intermediaries, ensuring data security, and imposing obligations on entities to protect against cyber threats[6].

Analysis of How Indian Laws Are Adapting to the Challenges and Opportunities Posed by AI

While the existing legal framework in India provides a foundation for addressing some of the challenges and opportunities posed by AI, there are several areas that require further adaptation and refinement to ensure effective regulation and governance of AI technologies.

• **Regulatory Adaptability:** The dynamic and rapidly evolving nature of AI necessitates a

[4] *Personal Data Protection Bill*, No. 373 of 2019, India (2019).
[5] Controller General of Patents, Designs & Trade Marks, *Guidelines for Examination of Computer-Related Inventions (CRIs)* (Intellectual Property India 2020).
[6] *Information Technology (Intermediary Guidelines and Digital Media Ethics Code) Rules*, 2021, India Code (2021).

flexible and adaptive regulatory approach that can keep pace with technological advancements. There is a need for the government and regulatory bodies to proactively engage with stakeholders, including industry experts, academia, and civil society, to develop comprehensive and forward-looking policies and guidelines that address the unique challenges and opportunities presented by AI[7].

• **Ethical and Societal Implications:** The ethical and societal implications of AI, such as bias, transparency, accountability, and impact on human rights, are increasingly becoming a focal point of the global AI discourse. Indian laws need to incorporate ethical considerations and principles to ensure responsible and inclusive AI innovation that respects and protects individual rights and promotes societal well-being.

• **Capacity Building and Awareness:** Enhancing the legal and regulatory capacity, promoting awareness, and fostering a culture of responsible AI use among stakeholders, including policymakers, legal professionals, and the general public, are essential to effectively navigate the complex legal landscape of AI in India. Capacity building initiatives, training programs, and educational campaigns can play a pivotal role in building the necessary expertise and fostering a shared understanding of AI-related legal and ethical issues.

CASE STUDIES

Justice K.S. Puttaswamy v. Union of India (2017) marked a transformative moment in Indian constitutional jurisprudence by recognizing the right to privacy as a fundamental right under Article 21. The Supreme Court emphasized that privacy is not merely a negative right against state intrusion but also includes positive obligations on the state to protect personal data. In the context of artificial intelligence, this judgment assumes immense importance because AI systems rely extensively on the collection and processing of personal information. The Court's articulation of privacy as encompassing informational self-determination directly limits the manner in which AI technologies can operate. It requires that any data-driven system must adhere to principles such as consent, necessity, and proportionality. Thus, this case provides the constitutional backbone for regulating AI technologies that engage in profiling, surveillance, or predictive analysis[8].

K.S. Puttaswamy (Aadhaar) v. Union of India (2018) further developed the principles laid down in the earlier privacy judgment by applying them to a large-scale biometric identification system. While the Supreme Court upheld the Aadhaar scheme, it imposed significant restrictions on the use and storage of personal data. The judgment introduced a structured proportionality test, requiring that any infringement of privacy must pursue a legitimate state aim and adopt the least restrictive means. This reasoning is particularly relevant for AI technologies such as facial recognition systems and automated surveillance tools, which similarly involve the large-scale processing of sensitive personal data. The decision highlights that technological efficiency cannot override constitutional safeguards and that state use of AI must remain accountable and limited[9].

Shreya Singhal v. Union of India (2015) represents a significant development in the protection of freedom of speech in the digital age. By striking down Section 66A of the Information Technology Act, the Supreme Court held that vague and overbroad restrictions on online

[7] NITI Aayog, *National Strategy for Artificial Intelligence* (Government of India 2018).
[8] *Justice K.S. Puttaswamy (Retd.) v. Union of India*, (2017) 10 SCC 1.
[9] *K.S. Puttaswamy (Aadhaar-5J.) v. Union of India*, (2019) 1 SCC 1.

expression are unconstitutional. The Court also clarified the scope of intermediary liability, stating that online platforms are only required to act upon receiving actual knowledge through lawful orders. In the context of AI, this judgment is highly relevant to algorithmic content moderation systems that automatically filter or remove online content. It raises concerns about the risk of over-censorship and highlights the need for transparency in automated decision-making processes. The ruling reinforces that even AI-driven governance of digital platforms must operate within constitutional limits and cannot result in arbitrary suppression of speech[10].

Anvar P.V. v. P.K. Basheer (2014) addressed the admissibility of electronic evidence and established that such evidence is only valid if it complies with the requirements of Section 65B of the Indian Evidence Act. The Supreme Court underscored the vulnerability of electronic data to manipulation and therefore insisted on strict procedural safeguards to ensure authenticity. This principle becomes particularly significant in the context of artificial intelligence, where algorithmically generated outputs may be used as evidence in legal proceedings. Whether it is predictive analytics, automated reports, or AI-generated documents, their admissibility depends on meeting established evidentiary standards. The judgment highlights the importance of maintaining trust in digital systems and ensuring that technological advancements do not compromise the integrity of the judicial process.[11]

Arjun Panditrao Khotkar v. Kailash Kushanrao Gorantyal (2020) clarified the legal position on electronic evidence by reaffirming that compliance with Section 65B is mandatory. The Court resolved earlier inconsistencies and emphasized that procedural requirements cannot be relaxed even in the face of technological complexity. For AI systems, this judgment has significant implications, as it requires that any data processed or generated through automated means must be properly certified before being relied upon in court. This ensures accountability and prevents misuse of AI-generated evidence, while also reinforcing the importance of procedural rigor in the digital age.[12]

People's Union for Civil Liberties v. Union of India (1997) laid down important safeguards against arbitrary state surveillance, particularly in the context of telephone tapping. The Supreme Court recognized that unchecked surveillance poses a serious threat to individual privacy and therefore established procedural requirements, including authorization and periodic review. Although the case predates modern AI technologies, its principles are directly applicable to AI-enabled surveillance systems such as facial recognition and predictive policing. These technologies significantly enhance the state's surveillance capabilities, making it essential to ensure that they are deployed within a framework of legality and accountability.[13]

Anuradha Bhasin v. Union of India (2020) addressed the legality of internet shutdowns and their impact on fundamental rights. The Supreme Court held that access to the internet is integral to freedom of speech and expression and that any restrictions must satisfy the tests of necessity and proportionality. This judgment has important implications for AI governance, as many AI systems depend on digital infrastructure and continuous internet connectivity. Arbitrary restrictions on internet access can hinder innovation and limit the effective deployment of AI technologies. The decision underscores that governance in the digital era must balance state interests with individual freedoms, ensuring that technological regulation

[10] *Shreya Singhal v. Union of India*, (2015) 5 SCC 1.
[11] *Anvar P.V. v. P.K. Basheer*, (2014) 10 SCC 473.
[12] *Arjun Panditrao Khotkar v. Kailash Kushanrao Gorantyal*, (2020) 7 SCC 1.
[13] *People's Union for Civil Liberties (PUCL) v. Union of India*, (1997) 1 SCC 301

does not undermine constitutional rights.[14]

CHALLENGES

1. Lack of Specific Legislation: One of the primary challenges faced by the Indian legal system in regulating AI is the absence of specific legislation dedicated solely to AI. The current legal framework is fragmented and lacks clarity on various aspects related to AI, such as data protection, intellectual property rights, ethical considerations, and accountability.

2. Rapid Technological Advancements: The rapid pace of technological advancements in AI outpaces the ability of the legal system to adapt and formulate effective regulations. This dynamic nature of AI technologies poses challenges in anticipating and addressing the potential risks, challenges, and ethical dilemmas associated with AI.

3. Ethical and Societal Implications: AI raises complex ethical and societal implications, including issues related to bias, transparency, accountability, privacy, and human rights. The Indian legal system faces challenges in incorporating ethical considerations and principles into the regulatory framework to ensure responsible and ethical AI development.

4. Capacity and Expertise: There is a lack of adequate legal expertise, technical knowledge, and capacity within the Indian legal system to understand, interpret, and regulate AI technologies effectively. This poses challenges in developing comprehensive, balanced, and forward-looking regulatory frameworks for AI.

OPPRTUNITIES

1. Legal Research and Analysis: AI-powered tools and technologies can be used to enhance legal research, analysis, and legal predictions; thus allowing legal professionals to more accurately access and verify more legal documents.

2. Legal Automation and Efficiency: Artificial intelligence can enhance and improve many legal processes, such as document analysis, contract analysis, due diligence and legal research, thereby increasing the efficiency, cost savings and productivity of legal practices.

3. Predictive Analytics and Decision Support: AI-driven predictive analytics and decision support systems can assist judges, lawyers, and legal professionals in predicting case outcomes, assessing legal risks, and making informed decisions, thereby improving the quality, consistency, and fairness of judicial decisions.

4. Enhancing Access to Justice: AI-powered legal chatbots and virtual assistants can provide information, guidance, and assistance to individuals, especially those from marginalized and underserved communities, enhancing access to legal information, services, and justice.

5. Ethical and Regulatory Compliance: AI can help in monitoring, analyzing, and ensuring compliance with legal and regulatory requirements, identifying potential risks, violations, and non-compliance issues, and facilitating timely interventions and remedial actions.

[14] *Anuradha Bhasin v. Union of India*, (2020) 3 SCC 637.

ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS IN AI

Artificial intelligence (AI) raises many ethical issues and attracts great attention from researchers, policymakers, and citizens worldwide. One of the most serious ethical problems is bias in the intellectual process, which can lead to injustice and discrimination. AI algorithms are trained on large amounts of data, and if that data is unfair or unrepresentative, it can be harmful and reveal social inequalities Another important ethical issue is privacy, as AI technology often relies on data collection and processing; This raises concerns about data security, consent and individual privacy rights. and understanding of users, but the sophistication and sophistication of many AI systems make it difficult to achieve the value of complexity[15].

Analysis of How Indian Laws Address These Ethical Concerns

In the Indian context, the legal framework addressing the ethical considerations of AI is still evolving and faces several challenges in effectively addressing the complex and multifaceted ethical issues associated with AI technologies.

• **Bias:** The Indian legal system has begun to recognize the importance of addressing bias in AI systems. The principles of non-discrimination and equality enshrined in the Indian Constitution provide a foundation for addressing bias in AI. Additionally, the Personal Data Protection Bill, 2019, emphasizes the importance of fair and non-discriminatory data processing practices, which can help mitigate bias in AI algorithms[16].

• **Privacy:** The Personal Data Protection Bill, 2019, aims to regulate the collection, storage, and processing of personal data and provides individuals with rights to access, correct, and delete their personal data. While the Bill emphasizes the importance of data privacy and protection, there are concerns about its effectiveness in addressing the privacy implications of AI technologies, especially in the context of pervasive data collection and surveillance[17].

• **Transparency:** The Indian legal system recognizes the importance of transparency in AI systems to ensure accountability and trustworthiness. However, there is a lack of specific regulations and guidelines addressing transparency requirements for AI algorithms and decision-making processes. The need for transparency in AI is acknowledged in various policy documents and initiatives, but there is a gap in translating these principles into actionable legal requirements and standards[18].

CONCLUSION

The intersection of artificial intelligence (AI) and Indian politics offers many possibilities, both promising and challenging. This study lays out several key areas that India needs to focus on in the challenging AI policy task. First, India's current legal framework for intellectual property is still in its infancy. Although AI-related laws exist, such as data protection, intellectual

[15] R. Mittal & S. Kapoor, *Bias in AI: Challenges and Solutions* 210 (Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research 2020).
[16] A. Sharma & M. Gupta, *Privacy Implications of AI: A Legal Perspective* 150 (Indian Journal of Law and Technology 2021).
[17] N. Verma & P. Singh, *Transparency in AI: Legal and Ethical Considerations* 50 (AI and Ethics 2022).
[18] NITI Aayog, *National Strategy for Artificial Intelligence* (Government of India 2018).

property rights and cybersecurity, there is a need to clarify and expand the law specifically on AI technology. This law should address the complexities of intelligence, including data integrity, algorithmic accountability, and the impact of autonomous decision-making, creating a good environment for innovation while protecting human rights and social values. In particular, bias, privacy and transparency are the most important issues that require urgent and strict attention2. The ubiquity of AI systems that rely heavily on data collection, analysis, and algorithmic decision-making gives rise to ethical dilemmas and traditional problems based on policy paradigms. AI algorithms and decision-making processes can lead to unfair outcomes, privacy violations, and lack of transparency; therefore, strict governance and ethics are needed to enable responsible AI development and deployment. Looking ahead, the future of AI and Indian law is contingent on collaborative efforts, informed decision-making, ethical considerations, and adaptive governance strategies. There is an imperative need for sustained dialogue, research, and innovation in AI regulation to harness the transformative potential of AI technologies, mitigate risks, and foster a responsible, inclusive, and equitable AI-powered future for India. As AI continues to revolutionize various sectors and reshape societal norms and practices, the legal system must evolve, innovate, and adapt to ensure alignment with ethical principles, human values, and the evolving needs and aspirations of Indian society.

REFERENCES

1. Menon, R., AI and Indian Law: Emerging Issues and Challenges, 15 *INDIAN J. LEGAL STUD.* 34 (2022).
2. Sharma, A., Case Law on AI in India: An Analysis, 9 *INDIAN L. REV.* 200 (2021).
3. Ministry of Electronics & Information Technology, *National AI Strategy and Policy Framework* (Gov't of India 2020).
4. Jones, B. & Kumar, S., Regulatory Challenges in the Age of AI: A Comparative Analysis, 8 *INT'L J. L. & TECH.* 123 (2019).
5. Rao, S. & Desai, P., Data Protection and Privacy in the Era of AI: Global Trends and Challenges, 7 *PRIVACY & DATA PROT. J.* 234 (2020).
6. Smith, A., Ethical Considerations in AI: A Global Perspective, 12 *J. ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE RES.* 45 (2020).
7. Patel, R. & Gupta, M., Intellectual Property Rights and AI: A Legal Perspective, 10 *J. INTELL. PROP. L.* 89 (2021).
8. Controller Gen. of Patents, Designs & Trade Marks, *Guidelines for Examination of Computer-Related Inventions (CRIs)* (Intellectual Prop. India 2020).
9. Ministry of Electronics & Information Technology, *Information Technology (Intermediary Guidelines and Digital Media Ethics Code) Rules, 2021* (Gov't of India 2021).
10. NITI Aayog, *National Strategy for Artificial Intelligence* (Gov't of India 2018).
11. Singh, A., Regulating AI in India: Challenges and Prospects, 20 *J. LEGAL STUD.* 150 (2020).
12. Mittal, R. & Kapoor, S., Bias in AI: Challenges and Solutions, 25 *J. ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE RES.* 210 (2020).
13. Verma, N. & Singh, P., Transparency in AI: Legal and Ethical Considerations, 3 *AI & ETHICS* 50 (2022).
14. Ministry of Electronics & Information Technology, *Personal Data Protection Bill, 2019* (Gov't of India 2019).
15. Mathur, A. & Sharma, P., Ethical Considerations in AI Regulation: A Legal Perspective, 14 *INDIAN L. REV.* 200 (2022).
16. Gupta, S. & Kumar, R., Building Legal Capacity for AI Governance in India, 15 *J. GOVERNANCE & POL'Y* 80 (2021).